Computer Science 161 Fall 2020

The Net Part 4: IP, TCP, TLS

"I don't think we fear machines in physical. We fear the beings they will become. We fear the logic they will bring to bear. Detached from humanity, with fresh eyes on Earth and our imprint upon it fresh, will they judge us unworthy of life?

We shouldn't fear they will be wrong, ending our reign without justification.

We should fear they will be right to do it."

- Taylor Swift



Spot the Zero Day: TPLink Miniature Wireless Router





Spot the Zero Forever Day: TPLink Miniature Wireless Router





Announcements

Computer Science 161 Fall 2020

- Going to delay in-person experiment by 1 week...

 - Plus, yeah, election week
- How is project 2 going?

I've yet to get building access approved, but I did inspect the locations...



Computer Science 161 Fall 2020				
	4-bit Version Length	8-bit Type of Service (TOS)	16-bi	t Total Length (Bytes)
	16-bit Ide	entification	3-bit Flags	13-bit Fragment Offset
	8-bit Time to Live (TTL)	8-bit Protocol	16-I	oit Header Checksum
		32-bit Source	e IP Ado	dress
		32-bit Destinat	ion IP A	ddress
		Option	s (if any	/)
		Pay	load	



5

Computer Science 161 Fall 2020			
	4-bit4-bit8-bitVersionHeaderType of ServicLength(TOS)	;∈ 16-bit Total Length (Bytes)	
	16-bit Identification	3-bit Flags 13-bit Fragment Offset	
	8-bit Time to Live (TTL) 8-bit Protoco	of the length of the packet: bytes in this head bytes in the Payload	e entire IP der plus
	32-bit Sou	rce IP Address	
	32-bit Desti	nation IP Address	
	Opt	ions (if any)	
	F	Payload	





4-bit Version	4-bit Header Length	8-bit Type of Service (TOS)
-	16-bit Id	entification
8-bit T Live	Fime to (TTL)	8-bit Protoco
		32-bit Sou
		32-bit Destin
		Opti
		P
	4-bit Version 8-bit T Live	4-bit Header Length16-bit Id8-bit Time to Live (TTL)







Computer Science 161 Fall 2020					
	4-bit Version	4-bit Header Length	8-bit Type of Service (TOS)	16-bi	t Total Length (Bytes)
	-	16-bit Id	entification	3-bit Flags	13-bit Fragment Offset
	8-bit Live	Time to (TTL)	8-bit Protocol	16-1	oit Header Checksum
			32-bit Source	e IP Ado	dress
			32-bit Destinat	ion IP A	ddress
			Option	s (if any	/)
			Pay	load	





Computer Science 161 Fall 2020				
	4-bit Version Length	8-bit Type of Service (TOS)	16-bi	t Total Length (Bytes)
	16-bit Id	entification	3-bit Flags	13-bit Fragment Offset
	8-bit Time to Live (TTL)	8-bit Protocol	16-1	oit Header Checksum
		32-bit Source	e IP Ado	lress
		32-bit Destinat	ion IP A	ddress
		Option	s (if any	/)
		Pay	load	



9

Computer Science 161 Fall 2020						
	4-bit Version Leng	oit der ath	8-bit Type of Service (TOS)	16-bi	t Total Length (Bytes)	
	16-bi	t Ide	entification	3-bit Flags	13-bit Fragment Offset	
	8-bit Time t Live (TTL)	to	8-bit Protocol	16-k	oit Header Checksum	
	32-bit Source IP Address				dress	
			32-bit Destinati	on IP A	ddress	
			Option	s (if any	/)	
			Payl	load		





IP Packet Header (Continued)

Computer Science 161 Fall 2020

- Two IP addresses
 - Source IP address (32 bits)
 - Destination IP address (32 bits)
- **Destination address**
 - Unique identifier/locator for the receiving host
 - Allows each node to make forwarding decisions

Source address

- Unique identifier/locator for the sending host
- Recipient can decide whether to accept packet
- Enables recipient to send a reply back to source
- Checksum is arithmetic, not CRC...
 - To allow easily modification of the packet by the network



IP: "Best Effort " Packet Delivery

Computer Science 161

- Routers inspect destination address, locate "next hop" in forwarding table
 - Address = ~unique identifier/locator for the receiving host
- Only provides a "*I'll give it a try*" delivery service:
 - Packets may be lost
 - Packets may be corrupted (but that is 'assume drop' based on layer 2 error detection)
 - Packets may be delivered out of order source







IP Routing: Autonomous Systems

- Your system sends IP packets to the gateway...
 - But what happens after that?
- Within a given network its routed internally
 - Identified by its ASN (Autonomous System Number)
- But the key is the Internet is a network-of-networks
 - Each "autonomous system" (AS) handles its own internal routing
 - The AS knows the next AS to forward a packet to
- Primary protocol for communicating in between ASs is BGP:
 - Each router announces what networks it can provide and the path onward
 - Most precise route with the shortest path and no loops preferred



Packet Routing on the Internet: Border Gateway Protocol & Routing Tables







IP Spoofing And Autonomous Systems

Computer Science 161 Fall 2020

- spoofing
 - Sending a packet with a different sender IP address
- But about 25% of them don't...
 - So a system can simply lie and say it comes from someplace else
- This enables blind-spoofing attacks
 - Such as the Kaminski attack on DNS
- It also enables "reflected DOS attacks"

The edge-AS where a user connects should restrict packet





On-path Injection vs Off-path Spoofing









Lying in BGP







"Best Effort" is Lame! What to do?

Computer Science 161

- layer-3 service
- #1 workhorse: TCP (Transmission Control Protocol)
- Service provided by TCP:
 - Connection oriented (explicit set-up / tear-down)
 - End hosts (processes) can have multiple concurrent long-lived communication
 - Reliable, in-order, *byte-stream* delivery
 - Robust detection & retransmission of lost data

It's the job of our Transport (layer 4) protocols to build data delivery services that our apps need out of IP's modest







TCP "Bytestream" Service

Computer Science 161 Fall 2020

Process A on host H1

Byte 3 Byte 2 Byte 1 Byte 0

Byte

08

Process B on host H2







Bidirectional communication:

Computer Science 161 Fall 2020

Process B on host H2

Byte

73

Byte 3 Byte 2 Byte 1 Byte 0

There are two separate **bytestreams**, one in each direction

Process A on host H1











Source port		ort	Destination port		
Sequence number					
Acknowledgment			dgment		
HdrLen	0	Flags Advertised window			
Checksum			Urgent pointer		
Options (variable)					
Data					





Computer Science 161 Fall 2020

These plus IP addresses define a given connection











Urgent pointer	Sequence number assigned to sta
variable)	of byte stream is picked when
	connection begins; doesn't start







Computer Science 161 Fall 2020

Used to say how much data has been received



Acknowledgment gives seq **# just beyond** highest seq. received **in order**.

If sender successfully sends **N** bytestream bytes starting at seq **S** then "ack" for that will be **S+N**.





Sequence Numbers



Computer Science 161 Fall 2020

Destination port

Advertised window

Urgent pointer

Data

Flags have different meaning:

SYN: Synchronize, used to initiate a connection

ACK: Acknowledge, used to indicate acknowledgement of data

FIN: Finish,

used to indicate no more data will be sent (but can still receive and acknowledge data)

RST: Reset, used to terminate the connection completely

TCP Conn. Setup & Data Exchange

Abrupt Termination

- A sends a TCP packet with RESET (RST) flag to B
 - E.g., because app. process on A crashed
 - (Could instead be that B sends a RST to A)
- Assuming that the sequence numbers in the RST fit with what B expects, That's It:
 - B's user-level process receives: ECONNRESET
 - No further communication on connection is possible

Disruption

- Normally, TCP finishes ("closes") a connection by each side sending a FIN control message Reliably delivered, since other side must <u>ack</u>
- But: if a TCP endpoint finds unable to continue (process dies; info from other "peer" is inconsistent), it abruptly terminates by sending a **RST** control message
 - Unilateral
 - Takes effect immediately (no ack needed) Only accepted by peer if has correct* sequence number

TCP Threat: Data Injection

Computer Science 161 Fall 2020

- any TCP connection
 - Receiver B is *none the wiser!*
- Termed TCP connection hijacking (or "session hijacking")
 - A general means to take over an already-established connection!
- We are toast if an attacker can see our TCP traffic!
 - Because then they immediately know the port & sequence numbers

В SYN X A time

• If attacker knows ports & sequence numbers (e.g., on-path attacker), attacker can inject data into

TCP Data Injection

TCP Data Injection

Computer Science 161 Fall 2020 Client (initiator) IP address 1.2.1.2, port 3344 Attacker IP address 6.6.6.6, port N/A SrcA=9.8.7.6, SrcP=80, DstA=1.2.1.2, DstP=3344, ACK, Seq = y+1, Ack = x+16Client ignores since already Data="200 OK ... <poison> ..." processed that part of bytestream: the network can duplicate packets so only pay attention to the first version in sequence

TCP Threat: Disruption aka RST injection

- The attacker can also inject RST packets instead of payloads
 - TCP clients must respect RST packets and stop all communication Because its a real world error recovery mechanism

 - So "just ignore RSTs don't work" •
- Who uses this?
 - China: The Great Firewall does this to TCP requests
 - A long time ago: Comcast, to block BitTorrent uploads
 - Some intrusion detection systems: To hopefully mitigate an attack in progress

TCP Threat: Blind Hijacking

Computer Science 161 Fall 2020

- connection even if they can't see our traffic?
- YES: if somehow they can infer or guess the port and sequence numbers

Is it possible for an off-path attacker to inject into a TCP

TCP Threat: Blind Spoofing

Computer Science 161

- connection, even if they can't see responses?
- YES: if somehow they can infer or guess the TCP initial sequence numbers
- Why would an attacker want to do this?
 - address
 - Perhaps to frame a given client so the attacker's actions during the connections can't be traced back to the attacker

Is it possible for an off-path attacker to create a fake TCP

• Perhaps to leverage a server's trust of a given client as identified by its IP

Reminder: Establishing a TCP Connection

Computer Science 161 Fall 2020

How Do We Fix This?

Use a (Pseudo)-*Random* ISN

Each host tells its *Initial* Sequence Number (ISN) to the other host.

(Spec says to pick based on local clock

> Hmm, any way for the attacker to know this?

Sure – make a non-spoofed connection *first*, and see what server used for ISN y then!

41

Summary of TCP Security Issues

Computer Science 161

- An attacker who can observe your TCP connection can manipulate it:
 - Forcefully terminate by forging a RST packet
 - Inject (spoof) data into either direction by forging data packets
 - Works because they can include in their spoofed traffic the correct sequence numbers (both directions) and TCP ports
 - Remains a major threat today

Summary of TCP Security Issues

- An attacker who can observe your TCP connection can manipulate it: Forcefully terminate by forging a RST packet
 - Inject (spoof) data into either direction by forging data packets
 - Works because they can include in their spoofed traffic the correct sequence numbers (both directions) and TCP ports
 - Remains a major threat today
- If attacker could predict the ISN chosen by a server, could "blind spoof" a • connection to the server
 - Makes it appear that host ABC has connected, and has sent data of the attacker's choosing, when in fact it hasn't
 - Undermines any security based on trusting ABC's IP address
 - Allows attacker to "frame" ABC or otherwise avoid detection
 - Fixed (mostly) today by choosing random ISNs

But wasn't fixed completely...

Computer Science 161 Fall 2020

• CVE-2016-5696

- 2016
- https://www.usenix.org/conference/usenixsecurity16/technical-sessions/ presentation/cao

• Key idea:

- - Could determine if two clients were communicating on a given port
 - Could determine if you could correctly guess the sequence #s for this communication
 - Required a third host to probe this and at the same time spoof packets
- Once you get the sequence #s, you can then inject arbitrary content into the TCP stream (d'oh)

"Off-Path TCP Exploits: Global Rate Limit Considered Dangerous" Usenix Security

RFC 5961 added some global rate limits that acted as an *information leak*:

The SYN Flood DOS Attack...

Computer Science

- - It is going to allocate a significant amount of state
- So just send lots of SYNs to a server...
 - Each SYN that gets a SYN/ACK would allocate some state
 - So do a *lot of them*
 - And spoof the source IP
- Attack is a resource consumption DOS
 - Goal is to cause the server to consume memory and CPU
- Requires that the attacker be able to spoof packets • Otherwise would just rate-limit the attacker's IPs

When a computer receives a TCP connection it decides to accept

SYN-Flood Counter: SYN cookies

Computer Science 161 Fall 2020

- response to complete the handshake
 - So don't allocate anything until you see the ACK... But how?
- Idea: Have our initial sequence not be random...
 - But instead have it be *pseudo*-random
- So we create the SYN/ACK's ISN using the pseudo-random function
 - And then check than the ACK correctly used the sequence number

Observation: Attacker needs to see or guess the server's

Easy SYN-cookies: HMAC

- On startup create a random key...
- For the server ISN:
 - HMAC_k(SIP|DIP|SPORT|DPORT|client_ISN)
- Upon receipt of the ACK
- Verify that ACK is based off HMAC_k(SIP|DIP|SPORT|DPORT|client_ISN) Only then does the server allocate memory for the TCP
- connection
- HMAC is very useful for these sorts of constructions: Give a token to a client, verify that the client presents the token later

Theme of The Rest Of This Lecture...

Computer Science 161 Fall 2020

"Trust does not scale because trust is not reducible to math."

- Taylor Swift

But Trust Can Be Delegated...

Computer Science 161 Fall 2020

"Trust does not scale because trust is not reducible to math."

- Taylor Swift

The Rest of Today's Lecture:

Computer Science

- Applying crypto technology in practice
- Two simple abstractions cover 80% of the use cases for crypto:
 - particular key: Project 2
 - on or tampered with
- Today: TLS (Transport Layer Security) a secure channel
 - In network parlance, this is an "application layer" protocol but...
 - designed to have any application over it, so really "layer 4.5" is a better

- "Sealed blob": Data that is encrypted and authenticated under a

Secure channel: Communication channel that can't be eavesdropped

description: Its basically used as a security layer over TCP or (with dTLS) UDP

Building Secure End-to-End Channels

Computer Science 161

- way from originating client to intended server
 - With no need to trust intermediaries
- Dealing with threats:
 - Eavesdropping?
 - Encryption (including session keys)
 - Manipulation (injection, MITM)?
 - Integrity (use of a MAC); replay protection
 - Impersonation?
 - Signatures

End-to-end = communication protections achieved all the

What's missing?

51

Building A Secure End-to-End Channel: SSL/TLS

Computer Science 161

- SSL = Secure Sockets Layer (predecessor)
- TLS = Transport Layer Security (standard)
 - Both terms used interchangeably
- Security for any application that uses TCP
- Secure = encryption/confidentiality + integrity + • authentication (of server, but not of client)
- Multiple uses
 - Puts the 's' in "https"
 - Secures mail sent between servers (STARTTLS)
 - Virtual Private Networks

An "Insecure" Web Page

A "Secure" Web Page

Computer Science 161 Fall 2020

Lock Icon means:

your computer and the site is encrypted and authenticated" "Some other third party attests that this site belongs to Amazon" and encryption"

5 Audible credits

Shop Audiobooks >

Digital Pre-Orders

1 item >

Explore AmazonFresh: Now just \$14.99/month Learn more

People *think* lock icon means "Hey, I can trust this site" (no matter where the lock icon "Your communication betw itself actually appears).

- "These properties hold not just for the main page, but any image or script is also fetched from a site with attestation

Amazon Gift Cards

Prime Benefits Free in Prime Music > Get The Most From Amazon Programs & Offers for you >

Customer Since 2004

Basic idea

- Browser (client) picks some symmetric_{Browser}
 keys for encryption + authentication
- Client sends them to server, encrypted using RSA public-key encryption
- Both sides send MACs
- Now they use these keys to encrypt and authenticate all subsequent messages, using symmetric-key crypto

HTTPS Connection (SSL / TLS)

- Browser (client) connects via TCP to Amazon's HTTPS server
- Client picks 256-bit random number R_B sends over list of crypto protocols it supports (Cypher suite negotiation)
- Server picks 256-bit random number R_S, selects protocols to use for this session
- Server sends over its certificate
 - (all of this is in the clear)
- Client now validates cert

Cipher Suite Negotiation

Computer Science 161 Fall 2020

Firefox's cipher-suite information

- Client sends to the server
- Server then choses which one it wants
 - It **should** pick the common mode that both prefer
- Its the bulk encryption modes only
- Then key exchanges w corresponding encryption mode
 - Description is key exchange, signature (if necessary), and then bulk cipher & hash

G

SL? API Home About

Given Cipher Suites

The cipher suites your client said it supports, in the order it sent them, are:

- TLS_AES_128_GCM_SHA256
- TLS_CHACHA20_POLY1305_SHA256
- TLS_AES_256_GCM_SHA384
- TLS_ECDHE_ECDSA_WITH_AES_128_GCM_SHA256
- TLS_ECDHE_RSA_WITH_AES_128_GCM_SHA256
- TLS_ECDHE_ECDSA_WITH_CHACHA20_POLY1305_SHA256
- TLS_ECDHE_RSA_WITH_CHACHA20_POLY1305_SHA256
- TLS_ECDHE_ECDSA_WITH_AES_256_GCM_SHA384
- TLS_ECDHE_RSA_WITH_AES_256_GCM_SHA384
- TLS_ECDHE_ECDSA_WITH_AES_256_CBC_SHA
- TLS_ECDHE_ECDSA_WITH_AES_128_CBC_SHA
- TLS_ECDHE_RSA_WITH_AES_128_CBC_SHA
- TLS_ECDHE_RSA_WITH_AES_256_CBC_SHA
- TLS_RSA_WITH_AES_128_GCM_SHA256
- TLS_RSA_WITH_AES_256_GCM_SHA384
- TLS_RSA_WITH_AES_128_CBC_SHA
- TLS_RSA_WITH_AES_256_CBC_SHA
- TLS_RSA_WITH_3DES_EDE_CBC_SHA

Learn More

HTTPS Connection (SSL / TLS), cont.

- For RSA, browser constructs "Premaster Secret" PS
- Browser sends PS encrypted using Amazon's public RSA key K_{Amazon}
- Using PS, R_B, and R_S, browser & server derive symmetric cipher keys (C_B, C_S) & MAC integrity keys (I_B, I_S)
 - One pair to use in each direction
 - Done by seeding a pRNG in common between the browser and the server: Repeated calls to the pRNG then create the common keys

HTTPS Connection (SSL / TLS), cont.

- For RSA, browser constructs "Premaster Secret" PS
- Browser sends PS encrypted using Amazon's public RSA key KAmazon
- Using PS, R_B, and R_S, browser & server derive symm. cipher keys (C_B, C_S) & MAC integrity keys (I_B, I_S)
 - One pair to use in each direction
- Browser & server exchange MACs computed over entire dialog so far
- If good MAC, Browser displays
- All subsequent communication encrypted w/ symmetric cipher (e.g., AES128) cipher keys, MACs
 - Sequence #'s thwart replay attacks, R_B and R_S thwart replaying handshake

Alternative: Ephemeral Key Exchange via Diffie-Hellman

- For Diffie-Hellman, server generates random a, sends public parameters and g^a mod p
 - Signed with server's private key
- Browser verifies signature
- Browser generates random b, computes PS = g^{ab} mod p, sends g^b mod p to server
- Server also computes
 PS = g^{ab} mod p
- Remainder is as before: from PS, R_B, and R_S, browser & server derive symm. cipher keys (C_B, C_S) and MAC integrity keys (I_B, I_S), etc...

Why R_b and R_s?

- Both R_b and R_s act to affect the keys... Why?
 - Keys = $F(R_b || R_s || PS)$
- Needed to prevent a replay attack
 - it...
- If the other side choses a different R the next time...
 - The replay attack fails.
- - Just make sure you don't reuse it on your side!

Attacker captures the handshake from either the client or server and replays

But you don't need to check for reuse by the other side..

And Sabotaged pRNGs...

Computer Science 161 Fall 2020

Let us assume the server is using DHE...

- If an attacker can know a, they have all the information needed to decrypt the traffic:
 - Since $PS = g^{ab}$, and can see g^{b} . •
- TLS spews a lot of "random" numbers publicly as well
 - Nonces in the crypto, R_s, etc...
- If the server uses a bad pRNG which is both sabotaged and doesn't have rollback resistance...
 - Dual_EC DRBG where you know the secret used to create the generator...
 - ANSI X9.31: An AES based one with a secret key...
- get the secret
 - Attack of the week: DUHK
 - https://blog.cryptographyengineering.com/2017/10/23/attack-of-the-week-duhk/

Attacker sees the handshake, sees subsequent PRNG calls, works backwards to

"sslstrip" (Amazon fixed this fairly recently)

attachter nad onanged ti
http rather than https!

Why Browser UI's have changed...

Computer Science 161 Fall 2020

- - No signaling on unencrypted sites
- "insecure"
 - Encourage sites to not use the ssl-strip vulnerable anti-pattern

INTERNATIONAL

INSTITUTE

COMPUTER SCIENCE

It used to be you'd only see "secure" if a site was encrypted

Recently browsers started flagging non-encrypted sites as

Big Changes for TLS 1.3 Diffie/Hellman and ECDHE only

Computer Science 161 Fall 2020

The RSA key exchange has a substantial vulnerability

- If the attacker is ever able to compromise the server and obtain its RSA key... the attacker can decrypt any traffic captured
- RSA lacks *forward secrecy*

So TLS 1.3 uses DHE/ECDHE only

• Requires an attacker who steals the server's private keys to still be a MitM to decrypt data

TLS 1.3 also speeds things up:

- In the client hello, the client includes {g^b mod p} for preferred parameters If the server finds it suitable, the server returns {g^a mod p}
- Saves a round-trip time
- Also only supports AEAD mode encryptions and limited ciphersuites (e.g. GCM)

But What About that "Certificate Validation"

Computer Science 161 Fall 2020

- Certificate validation is used to establish a chain of "trust"
 - It actually is an *attempt* to build a scalable trust framework
- This is commonly known as a Public Key Infrastructure (PKI)
 - Your browser is trusting the "Certificate" Authority" to be responsible...

"Trust does not scale because trust is not reducible to math."

- Taylor Swift

Certificates

Computer Science 161 Fall 2020

Cert = signed statement about someone's public key

- Note that a cert does not say anything about the identity of who gives you the cert
- It simply states a given public key K_{Bob} belongs to Bob ...
 - ... and backs up this statement with a digital signature made using a different public/private key pair, say from Verisign (a "Certificate Authority")
- Bob then can prove his identity to you by you sending him something encrypted with K_{Bob} ...
 - ... which he then demonstrates he can read
- ... or by signing something he demonstrably uses
- Works provided you trust that you have a valid copy of Verisign's public key ...
 - ... and you trust Verisign to use prudence when she signs other people's keys

Validating Amazon's Identity

- Browser compares domain name in cert w/ URL
 - Note: this provides an *end-to-end* property (as opposed to say a cert associated with an IP address)
- Browser accesses separate cert belonging to issuer
 - These are hardwired into the browser *and trusted*!
 - There could be a chain of these ...
- Browser applies issuer's public key to verify signature S, obtaining the hash of what the issuer signed
 - Compares with its own SHA-1 hash of Amazon's cert
- Assuming hashes match, now have high confidence it's indeed Amazon's public key ...
 - assuming signatory is trustworthy, didn't lose private key, wasn't tricked into signing someone else's certificate, and that Amazon didn't lose their key either...

End-to-End \Rightarrow Powerful Protections

Computer Science 161 Fall 2020

Attacker runs a sniffer to capture our WiFi session?

- But: encrypted communication is unreadable
 - No problem!
- DNS cache poisoning?
 - Client goes to wrong server
 - But: detects impersonation
 - No problem!
- Attacker hijacks our connection, injects new traffic
 - - No problem!
- invalid packets, or drop packets: limited to a denial of service

But: data receiver rejects it due to failed integrity check since all communication has a mac on it

• Only thing a *full man-in-the-middle* attacker can do is inject RSTs, inject

Validating Amazon's Identity, cont.

- Browser retrieves cert belonging to the issuer
 - These are hardwired into the browser and trusted!
- But what if the browser can't find a cert for the issuer?

